

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,400	10/24/2005	Peter Clifton	4623-050231	4667
28289 THE WERR I	7590 02/20/2008 AW FIRM, P.C.		EXAMINER	
700 KOPPERS BUILDING			ELOSHWAY, NIKI MARINA	
436 SEVENTI PITTSBURGI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/20/2008	PAPER .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

- <u>-</u>				·			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/524,400	.CLIFTON ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		NIKI M. ELOSHWAY	3781				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm (J. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·		action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
		r					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/524,400 Page 2

Art Unit: 3781

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ho et al. (U.S. 5,699,933) in view of Setty (U.S. 5,713,484). Ho et al. teach a drinking apparatus, shown in figures 1A and 1B, having a container 1, a lid at 3, a valve, shown in figure 1B. The valve is biased closed by the spring 5B and by gravity. The apparatus of Ho et al. does not include a tamper indicating mechanism closure mechanism. Setty teaches that it is known to provide a container and lid with a tamper indicating closure mechanism. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Ho et al. with the lid and container having a tamper indicating closure mechanism, as taught by Setty, in order to prevent tampering.

Regarding claim 4, Ho et al. does not teach that the container is a cup. Setty teaches that it is known to provide a cup shaped container. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Ho et al. with the container being cup shaped, as taught by Setty, in order to give the container a more convention appearance.

3. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ho et al. (U.S. 5,699,933) in view of Setty (U.S. 5,713,484), as applied to claims 1 and 7 above, and further in view of Pappas et al. (U.S. 2003/0233986A1). The modified apparatus of Ho et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the fluorescent markings. Pappas et al. teach that it is known to provide a dispensing mechanism with fluorescent markings (see paragraph [0075]). It would have been obvious to one having

Application/Control Number: 10/524,400

Art Unit: 3781

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the modified apparatus of Ho et al.

Page 3

with the valve element having fluorescent markings, as taught by Pappas et al., in order to increase the

visual novelty of the device.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The prior art is cited for the dispensing mechanism.

5. THIS ACTION IS NON-FINAL.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to NIKI M. ELOSHWAY whose telephone number is (571)272-4538. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mki M. Eloshway

Examiner Art Unit 3781

nme